

SUBSETS OF PRODUCTS OF POSITIVE DENSITY ON VAN DER WAERDEN SETS

KONSTANTINOS TYROS

ABSTRACT. We prove that for every sequence $(m_q)_q$ of positive integers and for every real $0 < \delta \leq 1$ there is a sequence $(n_q)_q$ of positive integers such that for every $D \subseteq \bigcup_k \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} [n_q]$ satisfying

$$\frac{|D \cap \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} [n_q]|}{\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} n_q} \geq \delta$$

for every k in a van der Waerden set, there is a sequence $(J_q)_q$, where J_q is an arithmetic progression of length m_q contained in $[n_q]$ for all q , such that $\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} J_q \subseteq D$ for every k in a van der Waerden set. Moreover, working in an abstract setting, we obtain J_q to be any configuration of natural numbers that can be found in an arbitrary set of positive density.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [TT] a density version of a Ramsey theoretic result [DLT, To] has been established. In particular it was shown that for every positive real ε and every sequence $(m_q)_q$ of positive integers there exists a sequence $(n_q)_q$ of positive integers with the following property. For every infinite subset L of the positive integers and every sequence $(D_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ such that D_ℓ is a subset of $\prod_{q=0}^{\ell-1} \{1, \dots, n_q\}$ of density at least ε for all $\ell \in L$, there exist a sequence $(I_q)_q$ and infinite subset L' of L such that I_q is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n_q\}$ of cardinality m_q for all non-negative integers q and the set $\prod_{q=0}^{\ell-1} I_q$ is a subset of D_ℓ for all $\ell \in L'$.

In the present paper we provide a strengthening of this result which is optimal in several aspects. Firstly, we show that the set L' can be chosen to be a van der Waerden set provided that L itself is a van der Waerden set (this is, clearly, a necessary condition). Moreover, the sets I_q can be endowed with additional structure. For instance, each I_q can be chosen to be an arithmetic or a polynomial progression. The construction of the sequence $(I_q)_q$ is effective avoiding, in particular, compactness arguments as in [TT].

In order to state our result we need to introduce some pieces of notation. By \mathbb{N} we denote the set of the non-negative integers; \mathbb{N}_+ stands for the set of all positive integers. For every set X by $X^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we denote the set of all finite sequences in X . The empty sequence is denoted by \emptyset and is included in $X^{<\mathbb{N}}$. For every positive

Key words: Density, Ramsey theory, finite sets, van der Waerden sets.

integer k by $[k]$ we denote the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$. By convention, $[0]$ stands for the empty set.

Let Y be a (possibly infinite) set. If X is a nonempty finite subset of Y and A is an arbitrary subset of Y , then the *density of A relative to X* , denoted by $\text{dens}_X(A)$, is the quantity defined by

$$(1) \quad \text{dens}_X(A) = \frac{|A \cap X|}{|X|}.$$

Recall that a subset of \mathbb{N}_+ is called a *var der Waerden set* if it contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. We will also need the following slight variant of the standard notion of a density regular family (see, e.g., [Mc1, Mc2]).

Definition 1. *A family \mathcal{F} of nonempty finite subsets of \mathbb{N}_+ is called uniformly density regular if for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ there exists an integer n_0 such that for every interval I of \mathbb{N}_+ of length at least n_0 and every subset A of I with $\text{dens}_I(A) \geq \varepsilon$, the set A contains an element of \mathcal{F} . The least such n_0 will be denoted by $B(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$. Finally, the set of all uniformly density regular families will be denoted by \mathcal{R} .*

There are several examples of uniformly regular families. The simplest one is the set of all subsets of the positive integers with exactly k elements where k is a fixed positive integer. By the famous Szemerédi Theorem [Sz], the set AP_k of all arithmetic progressions of length k is also a uniformly regular family; see [G] for the best known upper bounds for the numbers $B(\text{AP}_k, \varepsilon)$. Moreover, for every choice of polynomials p_1, \dots, p_k taking integer values on the integers and zero on zero, the family $\{\{a + p_1(n), \dots, a + p_k(n)\} : a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is uniformly density regular. This is a consequence of the work of V. Bergelson and A. Leibman [BL]. More examples of uniform density regular families can be found in [FW, BM].

We are now ready to state our main result.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Then there exists a map $V_\delta : \mathcal{R}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For every sequence $(n_q)_q$ of positive integers, every sequence $(\mathcal{F}_q)_q$ of uniformly regular families, every var der Waerden set L and every sequence $(D_k)_{k \in L}$ such that*

- (a) $n_0 \geq V_\delta(\mathcal{F}_0, \emptyset)$,
- (b) $n_q \geq V_\delta((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, (n_p)_{p=0}^{q-1})$ for all positive integers q and
- (c) D_ℓ is a subset of $\prod_{q=0}^{\ell-1} [n_q]$ of density at least δ for all $\ell \in L$

there exist a sequence $(I_q)_q$ and a van der Waerden subset L' of L such that

- (i) I_q is an element of \mathcal{F}_q contained in $[n_q]$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and
- (ii) $\prod_{q=0}^{\ell-1} I_q \subseteq D_\ell$ for all $\ell \in L'$.

The definition of the map V_δ is based on an auxiliary map T that we will define in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 2 as well as the definition of the map V_δ are given in Section 4.

2. CORRELATION OF MEASURABLE EVENTS ON ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS.

Recall the following two classical combinatorial results due to B. L. van der Waerden [vW] and E. Szemerédi [Sz] respectively.

Theorem 3. *For every r, k positive integers, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and every coloring of $[n]$ into r many colors, one of the colors contains an arithmetic progression of length k . The least such n_0 will be denoted by $W(k, r)$.*

Theorem 4. *For every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and every positive integer k there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and every A subset of $[n]$ of density at least ε , the set A contains an arithmetic progression of length k . The least such n_0 will be denoted by $S(k, \varepsilon)$.*

Theorem 3 has the following easy consequence, essentially stating that the collection of all van der Waerden sets forms a coideal on \mathbb{N}_+ .

Fact 5. *One of the colors of a finite coloring of a van der Waerden set is a van der Waerden set.*

We proceed to define some numerical invariants. For every $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and every integer $k \geq 2$ we set

$$(2) \quad \theta_1(k, \eta) = (\eta/2) \cdot \binom{S(k, \eta/2)}{2}^{-1},$$

while for every $0 < \eta \leq 1$ we set

$$(3) \quad \theta_1(1, \eta) = \eta.$$

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and k be a positive integer. Then for every integer n with $n \geq S(k, \eta/2)$ and every family $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that $\mu(A_i) \geq \eta$ for all $i \in [n]$ there exists an arithmetic progression P of length k contained in $[n]$ such that*

$$(4) \quad \mu\left(\bigcap_{i \in P} A_i\right) \geq \theta_1(k, \eta).$$

Proof. For $k = 1$ the result is immediate. Thus, let us assume that $k \geq 2$. Fix $n \geq S(k, \eta/2)$ and a family $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_i) \geq \eta$ for all $i \in [n]$. We set $n_0 = S(k, \eta/2)$ and

$$(5) \quad A = \{(i, x) \in [n_0] \times \Omega : x \in A_i\}.$$

Clearly, the product probability measure $\text{dens}_{[n_0]} \otimes \mu$ of A is at least η . For every $x \in \Omega$ let

$$(6) \quad A^x = \{i \in [n_0] : (i, x) \in A\}.$$

By Fubini Theorem, setting

$$(7) \quad C = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \text{dens}_{[n_0]}(A^x) \geq \frac{\eta}{2} \right\},$$

we have that $C \in \Sigma$ and $\mu(C) \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$. By Theorem 4 and the choice of n_0 , for every $x \in C$ there exists an arithmetic progression P_x of length k contained in A^x . Observe that for every $x \in C$ we have

$$(8) \quad x \in \bigcap_{i \in P_x} A_i.$$

There are at most $\binom{n_0}{2}$ many arithmetic progressions of length k contained in $[n_0]$. Therefore, there exist an arithmetic progression P of length k contained in $[n_0]$ and a measurable subset C' of C with

$$(9) \quad \mu(C') \geq \mu(C) \cdot \left(\frac{S(k, \eta/2)}{2} \right)^{-1} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \theta_1(k, \eta)$$

and such that $P_x = P$ for all $x \in C'$. Invoking (8) we see that $C' \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in P} A_i$. Hence $\mu(\bigcap_{i \in P} A_i) \geq \mu(C') \stackrel{(9)}{\geq} \theta_1(k, \eta)$ and the proof is completed. \square

We will also need a variant of Lemma 6 which is stated in the more general context of uniformly density regular families. To state it we need, first, to introduce some further invariants. Specifically, for every $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and every uniformly density regular family \mathcal{F} we set

$$(10) \quad M(\mathcal{F}, \eta) = \max \left\{ |\{F \in \mathcal{F} : F \subseteq I\}| : I \text{ is an interval of length } B(\mathcal{F}, \eta) \right\}$$

and we define

$$(11) \quad \theta_2(\mathcal{F}, \eta) = \frac{\eta}{4 \cdot M(\mathcal{F}, \eta/4)}.$$

Lemma 7. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and \mathcal{F} be a uniformly density regular family. Also let n be an integer with $n \geq (2/\varepsilon) \cdot B(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon/4)$ and (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space. Finally let A be a subset of $[n] \times \Omega$ with $(\text{dens}_{[n]} \otimes \mu)(A) \geq \eta$. Then there exists an element F of \mathcal{F} such that, setting $\tilde{A} = \{x \in \Omega : (i, x) \in A \text{ for all } i \in F\}$, we have*

$$(12) \quad \mu(\tilde{A}) \geq \theta_2(\mathcal{F}, \eta).$$

Proof. We set $n_0 = B(\mathcal{F}, \eta/4)$. First we pick a subinterval I of $[n]$ of length n_0 such that

$$(13) \quad (\text{dens}_I \otimes \mu)(A \cap (I \times \Omega)) \geq \eta/2$$

as follows. We set $\ell = \lfloor n/n_0 \rfloor$ and we pick I_1, \dots, I_ℓ disjoint subintervals of $[n]$ each of length n_0 . We set $J = \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell I_j$. By the assumptions on n , we have that $\text{dens}_{[n]}([n] \setminus J) < \eta/2$. Consequently, since I_1, \dots, I_ℓ are of the same length, we have that

$$(14) \quad \frac{\eta}{2} \leq (\text{dens}_J \otimes \mu)(A \cap (J \times \Omega)) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^\ell (\text{dens}_{I_j} \otimes \mu)(A \cap (I_j \times \Omega)).$$

Hence for some $j_0 \in [\ell]$ we have that $(\text{dens}_{I_{j_0}} \otimes \mu)(A \cap (I_{j_0} \times \Omega)) \geq \eta/2$. Let $I = I_{j_0}$.

For every $i \in I$ we set

$$(15) \quad A_i = \{x \in \Omega : (i, x) \in A\}$$

and for every $x \in \Omega$ we set

$$(16) \quad A^x = \{i \in I : (i, x) \in A\}.$$

By (13) and Fubini Theorem, we have that the set

$$(17) \quad C = \left\{x \in \Omega : \text{dens}_I(A^x) \geq \eta/4\right\}$$

is a measurable event of probability at least $\eta/4$. Since I is of length $B(\mathcal{F}, \eta/4)$, for every $x \in C$ we have that there exists an element F_x of \mathcal{F} contained in A^x . Let us observe that for every $x \in C$, by the definition of the set A^x , we have that

$$(18) \quad x \in \bigcap_{i \in F_x} A_i.$$

Since I contains at most $M(\mathcal{F}, \eta/4)$ elements of \mathcal{F} , we have that there exist an element F of \mathcal{F} contained in I and a measurable subset C' of C such that

$$(19) \quad \mu(C') \geq \frac{\mu(C)}{M(\mathcal{F}, \eta/4)} \stackrel{(11)}{\geq} \theta_2(\mathcal{F}, \eta)$$

and $F_x = F$ for all $x \in C'$. Invoking (18) we have that $C' \subset \bigcap_{i \in F} A_i$. Setting \tilde{A} as in the statement, we clearly have that the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in F} A_i$ is subset of \tilde{A} . Thus $\mu(\tilde{A}) \geq \mu(\bigcap_{i \in F} A_i) \geq \mu(C') \stackrel{(18)}{\geq} \theta_2(\mathcal{F}, \eta)$ as desired. \square

Before we proceed let us introduce some additional notation. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space and B be a measurable event of positive probability. For every $A \in \Sigma$ we set

$$(20) \quad \mu_B(A) = \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)}.$$

We have the following elementary fact.

Fact 8. *Let $0 < \eta, \theta \leq 1$. Also let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space and A, B be two measurable events such that $\mu(A) \geq \eta$ and $\mu(B) \geq \theta$. If $\mu_B(A) \leq \eta/2$ then $\mu(\Omega \setminus B) \geq \eta/2$ and $\mu_{\Omega \setminus B}(A) \geq \eta + \eta\theta/2$.*

Proof. Assuming that $\mu_B(A) \leq \eta/2$ we have the following. First we observe that

$$(21) \quad \mu(\Omega \setminus B) \geq \mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(A) - \mu(A \cap B) \geq \mu(A) - \mu_B(A) \geq \eta/2.$$

Since

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta &\leq \mu(A) = \mu(\Omega \setminus B) \cdot \mu_{\Omega \setminus B}(A) + \mu(B) \cdot \mu_B(A) \\ &\leq (1 - \mu(B)) \cdot \mu_{\Omega \setminus B}(A) + (\eta/2) \cdot \mu(B), \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{\Omega \setminus B}(A) &\geq \eta \cdot \frac{1 - \mu(B)/2}{1 - \mu(B)} = \eta \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\mu(B)/2}{1 - \mu(B)}\right) \\ &\geq \eta + \eta \cdot \mu(B)/2 \geq \eta + \eta\theta/2 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 9. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1$ and k be a positive integer. Also let L be a van der Waerden set and $(A_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that $\mu(A_\ell) \geq \eta$ for all $\ell \in L$. Then there exist an arithmetic progression P of length k contained in L , a van der Waerden subset L' of L and a subset B of $\cap_{i \in P} A_i$ such that*

$$(24) \quad \mu(B) \geq \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{2}{\eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)} \right\rfloor - 1} \theta_1(k, \eta)$$

and $\mu_B(A_\ell) \geq \eta/2$, for all $\ell \in L'$. Moreover, the set B belongs to the algebra generated by the family $\{A_\ell : \ell \in L \text{ and } \ell \leq \max R\}$.

Proof. We set $L_0 = L$ and $\Omega_0 = \Omega$. We pick a positive integer s_0 with $s_0 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{2}{\eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)} \right\rfloor$ and we construct by induction L_1, \dots, L_{s_0} and P_1, \dots, P_{s_0} such that setting inductively $B_t = (\cap_{i \in P_t} A_i) \cap \Omega_{t-1}$ and $\Omega_t = \Omega_{t-1} \setminus B_t$ for all $t = 1, \dots, s_0$, we have that the following are satisfied.

- (i) For every $t = 1, \dots, s_0$ we have that L_t is a van der Waerden subset of L_{t-1} .
- (ii) For every $t = 1, \dots, s_0$ we have that P_t is an arithmetic progression of length k contained in L_{t-1} .
- (iii) For every $t = 0, \dots, s_0 - 1$ we have $\mu(\Omega_t) \geq (\eta/2)^t$.
- (iv) For every $t = 1, \dots, s_0$ we have $\mu_{\Omega_{t-1}}(B_t) \geq \theta_1(k, \eta)$.
- (v) For every $t = 0, \dots, s_0 - 1$ we have $\mu_{\Omega_t}(A_\ell) \geq \eta + t \cdot \eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)/2$ for all $\ell \in L_t$.
- (vi) For every $t = 1, \dots, s_0 - 1$ we have that $\mu_{B_t}(A_\ell) < \eta/2$ for all $\ell \in L_t$.
- (vii) $\mu_{B_{s_0}}(A_\ell) \geq \eta/2$ for all $\ell \in L_{s_0}$.

Assume that for some $s < \left\lfloor \frac{2}{\eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)} \right\rfloor$ we have constructed $(L_t)_{t=0}^s$ and if $s \geq 1$, $(P_t)_{t=1}^s$, satisfying (i)-(vi) above. Let $(\Omega_t)_{t=0}^s$ be as defined above. By the inductive assumption (i), we have that L_s is a van der Waerden set and therefore we may pick an arithmetic progression P of length $S(k, \eta/2)$ contained in L_s . By the inductive assumption (v) and Lemma 6 there exists an arithmetic progression P_{s+1} of length k contained in P such that

$$(25) \quad \mu_{\Omega_s}(B_{s+1}) \geq \theta_1(k, \eta),$$

where $B_{s+1} = (\cap_{i \in P_{s+1}} A_i) \cap \Omega_s$. By Fact 5 we pass to a van der Waerden subset L_{s+1} of L_s such that either

- (a) $\mu_{B_{s+1}}(A_\ell) \geq \eta/2$, for all $\ell \in L_{s+1}$, or
- (b) $\mu_{B_{s+1}}(A_\ell) < \eta/2$, for all $\ell \in L_{s+1}$.

If (a) occurs, then we set $s_0 = s + 1$ and the inductive construction is complete. Let us assume that (b) holds. Then invoking (25) and (v) of the inductive assumptions, by Fact 8, we have that

$$(26) \quad \mu_{\Omega_{s+1}}(A_\ell) \geq \eta + (s+1) \cdot \eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)/2$$

for all $\ell \in L_{s+1}$, where $\Omega_{s+1} = \Omega_s \setminus B_{s+1}$. Moreover, by Fact 8 and the inductive assumptions (iii) and (v) we have that $\mu(\Omega_{s+1}) \geq (\eta/2) \cdot \mu(\Omega_s) \geq (\eta/2)^{s+1}$. The inductive step of the construction is complete. Finally, let us point out that if $s = \lfloor \frac{2}{\eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)} \rfloor - 1$, then (a) has to occur. Indeed, assuming that (b) occurs then by (26) we would have that the relative probability of A_ℓ inside Ω_{s+1} exceeds 1.

Hence, setting $L' = L_{s_0}$, $P = P_{s_0}$ and $B = B_{s_0}$, we have that L' is a van der Waerden subset of L and P is an arithmetic progression of length k contained in L . Moreover, we have that $B = (\cap_{i \in P} A_i) \cap \Omega_{s_0-1} \subseteq \cap_{i \in P} A_i$ and

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(B) &= \mu(B_{s_0}) = \mu_{\Omega_{s_0-1}}(B_{s_0}) \cdot \mu(\Omega_{s_0-1}) \stackrel{(iv), (iii)}{\geq} \theta_1(k, \eta) \cdot (\eta/2)^{s_0-1} \\ &\geq \theta_1(k, \eta) \cdot (\eta/2)^{\lfloor \frac{2}{\eta \cdot \theta_1(k, \eta)} \rfloor - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (vii), we have that $\mu_B(A_\ell) \geq \eta/2$, for all $\ell \in L'$. Finally, it is immediate that the set B , by its definition, belongs to the algebra generated by the family $\{A_\ell : \ell \in L \text{ and } \ell \leq \max R\}$ as desired. \square

3. THE AUXILIARY MAP T

As we have already mentioned the definition of the map V_δ makes use of an auxiliary map T . Recall that by \mathcal{R} we denote the set of all uniformly regular families (see Definition 1). We define the map $T : \mathcal{R}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where by \mathbb{R}_+ we denote the set of all positive reals, as follows. Let q be a non-negative integer and $((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, \varepsilon)$ be an element of $\mathcal{R}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We inductively define $(\varepsilon_p)_{p=0}^q$ by setting

$$(28) \quad \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon \text{ and } \varepsilon_{p+1} = \theta_2(\mathcal{F}_p, \varepsilon_p)$$

for all $p = 0, \dots, q-1$. Finally we set

$$(29) \quad T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, \varepsilon) = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\varepsilon_q} \cdot B(\mathcal{F}_q, \varepsilon_q/4) \right\rceil.$$

We then extend T on $\mathcal{R}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ arbitrarily. Let us observe, for later use, that if q is positive then

$$(30) \quad T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, \varepsilon) = T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=1}^q, \theta_2(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon)).$$

Although the following notation is quite standard in the literature, we include it below for clarity.

Notation 1. Let $q_0 < q_1 < q_2$ be non-negative integers and $(n_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers. Also let $x \in \prod_{p=q_0}^{q_1-1} [n_p]$ and $y \in \prod_{p=q_1}^{q_2-1} [n_p]$. By $x \frown y$ we denote the concatenation of the sequences x, y , i.e. the sequence $z \in \prod_{p=q_0}^{q_2-1} [n_p]$ satisfying

$z(p) = x(p)$ for all $p = q_0, \dots, q_1 - 1$ and $z(p) = y(p)$ for all $p = q_1, \dots, q_2 - 1$. Moreover, for $A \subseteq \prod_{p=q_0}^{q_1-1} [n_p]$ and $B \subseteq \prod_{p=q_1}^{q_2-1} [n_p]$ we set

$$(31) \quad x \frown B = \{x \frown y : y \in B\}$$

and

$$(32) \quad A \frown B = \bigcup_{x \in A} x \frown B.$$

The main property of the map T that we are interested in is described by the following lemma. Similar results to this one have already been considered (see [E, ES, GRS]).

Lemma 10. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and q be a non-negative integer. Also set $\mathcal{F}_0, \dots, \mathcal{F}_q$ be uniformly regular families and n_0, \dots, n_q be integers such that $n_p \geq T((\mathcal{F}_s)_{s=0}^p, \varepsilon)$ for all $p = 0, \dots, q$. Finally, let D be a subset of $\prod_{p=0}^q [n_p]$ of density at least ε . Then there exists a sequence $(I_p)_{p=0}^q$ such that*

- (i) I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$ for all $p = 0, \dots, q$ and
- (ii) $\prod_{p=0}^q I_p$ is subset of D .

Proof. We proceed by induction on q . First let us observe that for $q = 0$ we have that $T((\mathcal{F}_0), \varepsilon) \geq B(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon)$ and therefore the result follows immediately by the definition of the number $B(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon)$.

Assume that the statement holds for some q . Fix a real ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, uniformly density regular families $\mathcal{F}_0, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{q+1}$ and integers n_0, \dots, n_{q+1} satisfying $n_p \geq T((\mathcal{F}_s)_{s=0}^p, \varepsilon)$ for all $p = 0, \dots, q+1$. Finally, let D be a subset of $\prod_{p=0}^{q+1} [n_p]$ of density at least ε . We set $\Omega = \prod_{p=1}^{q+1} [n_p]$. Observe that $\prod_{p=0}^{q+1} [n_p] = [n_0] \times \Omega$ and that the probability measures $\text{dens}_{\prod_{p=0}^{q+1} [n_p]}$ and $\text{dens}_{n_0} \otimes \text{dens}_{\Omega}$ are equal. Thus $(\text{dens}_{n_0} \otimes \text{dens}_{\Omega})(D) \geq \varepsilon$. Since $n_0 \geq T((\mathcal{F}_0), \varepsilon) \stackrel{(29)}{=} (2/\varepsilon) \cdot B(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon/4)$, by Lemma 7, there exists an element I_0 of \mathcal{F}_0 such that setting $\tilde{D} = \{x \in \Omega : (i, x) \in D \text{ for all } i \in I_0\}$, we have that

$$(33) \quad \mu(\tilde{D}) \geq \theta_2(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon).$$

By the definition of \tilde{D} , it is immediate that

$$(34) \quad I_0 \frown \tilde{D} \subseteq D.$$

Also notice that for every $p = 1, \dots, q+1$,

$$(35) \quad n_p \geq T((\mathcal{F}_s)_{s=0}^p, \varepsilon) \stackrel{(30)}{=} T((\mathcal{F}_s)_{s=1}^p, \theta_2(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon)).$$

By (33), (35) and the inductive assumption we have that there exists a sequence $(I_p)_{p=1}^{q+1}$ such that

- (a) I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$ for all $p = 1, \dots, q+1$ and
- (b) $\prod_{p=1}^{q+1} I_p$ is subset of \tilde{D} .

By (b) and (34), we have that $\prod_{p=0}^{q+1} I_p \subset I_0 \frown \tilde{D} \subseteq D$ and the proof is complete. \square

Definition 11. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and r be a non-negative integer. Also let L be a van der Waerden set and $(n_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers. We will say that a sequence $(D_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ is $(r, \varepsilon, (n_q)_q)$ -dense if for every $\ell \in L$ with $\ell > r$ we have that D_ℓ is a subset of $\prod_{p=r}^{\ell-1} [n_p]$ of density at least ε .

For every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and every positive integer k we define

$$(36) \quad \theta_3(k, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{2}{\varepsilon \cdot \theta_1(k, \varepsilon)} \right\rfloor} \cdot \theta_1(k, \varepsilon).$$

Finally, for every non-negative integer n and every sequence x of length at least n (finite or infinite), by $R_n(x)$ we denote the initial segment of x of length n .

Lemma 12. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, r be a non-negative integer and k be a positive integer. Also let $(\mathcal{F}_q)_q$ be a sequence of uniformly density regular families and $(n_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $n_q \geq T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=r}^q, \theta_3(k, \varepsilon))$, for all $q \geq r$. Finally, let L be a van der Waerden set and $(D_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ be $(r, \varepsilon, (n_q)_q)$ -dense. Then there exist an arithmetic progression P of length k inside L , a van der Waerden subset L' of L , a finite sequence $(I_p)_{p=r}^{r'-1}$ and an $(r', \varepsilon', (n_q)_q)$ -dense sequence $(\tilde{D}_\ell)_{\ell \in L'}$, where $r' = \max P$ and $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} n_p + 2)}$, satisfying the following.

- (i) For every $p = r, \dots, r' - 1$, the set I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$.
- (ii) $r < \min P$.
- (iii) For every $q \in P$, the set $\prod_{p=r}^{q-1} I_p$ is a subset of D_q .
- (iv) For every $\ell \in L'$, the set $(\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} I_p) \cap \tilde{D}_\ell$ is a subset of D_ℓ .

Proof. Passing to a final segment of L , if it is necessary, we may assume that $r < \min L$. Let $\Omega = \prod_{p=r}^\infty [n_p]$ and μ be the Lebesgue (probability) measure on Ω . Also let for every $\ell \in L$, $A_\ell = \{x \in \Omega : R_{\ell-r}(x) \in D_\ell\}$. By Lemma 9 applied for “ $\eta = \varepsilon$ ”, there exist an arithmetic progression P of length k contained in L , a van der Waerden subset L'' of L and a subset \hat{B} of $\cap_{i \in P} A_i$ such that

$$(37) \quad \mu(\hat{B}) \geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{2}{\varepsilon \cdot \theta_1(k, \varepsilon)} \right\rfloor - 1} \theta_1(k, \varepsilon),$$

for every $\ell \in L''$ we have $\mu_{\hat{B}}(A_\ell) \geq \varepsilon/2$ and the set B belongs to the algebra generated by the family $\{A_\ell : \ell \in L \text{ and } \ell \leq \max P\}$. Thus, setting $r' = \max P$, there exists a subset B of $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]$ such that

$$(38) \quad \text{dens}_{\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]}(B) = \mu(\hat{B}) \stackrel{(37)}{\geq} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{2}{\varepsilon \cdot \theta_1(k, \varepsilon)} \right\rfloor - 1} \theta_1(k, \varepsilon),$$

for every $\ell \in L''$, setting $B_\ell = \{x \in \prod_{p=r}^{\ell-1} [n_p] : R_{r'-r}(x) \in B\}$, we have

$$(39) \quad \text{dens}_{B_\ell}(D_\ell) \geq \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$(40) \quad R_{q-r}(x) \in D_q$$

for all $q \in P$ and $x \in B$. Passing to a final subset L'' , if it is necessary, we may assume that $\min L'' > r'$.

For every ℓ in L'' we have the following. For each element y in $\prod_{p=r'}^{\ell-1} [n_p]$ we define $\Gamma_y = \{z \in B : z \wedge y \in D_\ell\}$. By (39) and Fubini's Theorem, we have that the set $D'_\ell = \{y \in \prod_{p=r'}^{\ell-1} [n_p] : \text{dens}_B(\Gamma_y) \geq \varepsilon/4\}$ is of density at least $\varepsilon/4$ inside $\prod_{p=r'}^{\ell-1} [n_p]$. Since Γ_y is subset of B and therefore subset of $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]$, we have that there exist a subset Γ_ℓ of B and a subset \tilde{D}_ℓ of D'_ℓ of density at least $(\varepsilon/4) \cdot 2^{-\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} n_p}$ inside $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]$ such that $\Gamma_y = \Gamma_\ell$ for all y in \tilde{D}_ℓ . Let us observe that by the choice of Γ_ℓ and D'_ℓ we have that

$$(41) \quad \Gamma_\ell \cap \tilde{D}_\ell \subseteq D_\ell \text{ and } \text{dens}_B(\Gamma_\ell) \geq \varepsilon/4.$$

By Fact 5 there exist a subset Γ of B and a van der Waerden subset L' of L'' , such that $\Gamma_\ell = \Gamma$ for all $\ell \in L'$. Clearly, $(\tilde{D}_\ell)_{\ell \in L'}$ is $(r', \varepsilon', (n_q)_q)$ -dense, where ε' is defined in the statement of the lemma. By (36), (38) and (41) we have

$$(42) \quad \text{dens}_{\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]}(\Gamma) = \text{dens}_B(\Gamma) \cdot \text{dens}_{\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} [n_p]}(B) \geq \theta_3(k, \varepsilon).$$

Moreover, by (41), we have that

$$(43) \quad \Gamma \cap \tilde{D}_\ell \subseteq D_\ell$$

for all $\ell \in L'$. Since for every $q = r, \dots, r'-1$ we have that $n_q \geq T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=r}^q, \theta_3(k, \varepsilon))$, by (42) and Lemma 10 there exists a sequence $(I_p)_{p=r}^{r'-1}$ such that

- (a) I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$ for all $p = r, \dots, r'-1$ and
- (b) $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} I_p$ is subset of Γ .

Since $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} I_p \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq B$, by (40) we have that $\prod_{p=r}^{q-1} I_p$ is subset of D_q for all $q \in P$. By (b) and (43) we have that $\prod_{p=r}^{r'-1} I_p \cap \tilde{D}_\ell$ is subset of D_ℓ for all $\ell \in L'$ and the proof is complete. \square

4. DEFINITION OF THE MAP V_δ AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let us recall that by \mathcal{R} we denote the set of all uniformly density regular families. For the sequel, let us adopt the following convention. For a sequence of positive integers $(n_q)_q$ and r a non-negative integer, we consider $(n_p)_{p=r}^{r-1}$ to be the empty sequence and $\prod_{p=r}^{r-1} n_p$ to be equal to zero. Fix some real δ with $0 < \delta \leq 1$. We define the map $V_\delta : \mathcal{R}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For every non-negative integer q , every finite sequence $(n_p)_{p=0}^{q-1}$ of positive integers and every finite sequence $(\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q$ of uniformly density regular families we set

$$(44) \quad V_\delta((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, (n_p)_{p=0}^{q-1}) = \max_{0 \leq r \leq q} T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=r}^q, \theta_3(r+1, \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r-1} n_p + 2^r)}))$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $(n_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers, $(\mathcal{F}_q)_q$ be a sequence of uniformly regular families, L be a van der Waerden set and $(D_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ be

$(0, \delta, (n_q)_q)$ -dense such that

$$(45) \quad n_q \geq V_\delta((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, (n_p)_{p=0}^{q-1})$$

for every non-negative integer q . We set $L_0 = L$, $r_0 = 0$ and $(D_\ell^0)_{\ell \in L_0} = (D_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$. We inductively construct a sequence of arithmetic progressions $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ contained in L , a decreasing sequence of van der Waerden sets $(L_n)_n$, a sequence $((I_q)_{q=r_{n-1}}^{r_n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$ where $r_n = \max P_n$ for all positive integers n and a sequence $((D_\ell^n)_{\ell \in L_n})_n$ such that for every non-negative integer n we have the following:

- (i) $r_n < \min P_{n+1}$.
- (ii) $(D_\ell^n)_{\ell \in L_n}$ is $(r_n, \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r_n-1} n_p + 2r_n)}, (n_q)_q)$ -dense.
- (iii) If n is positive, then I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$ for every $p = r_{n-1}, \dots, r_n - 1$.
- (iv) if n is positive, then P_n is an arithmetic progression of length $r_{n-1} + 1$ contained in L_{n-1} such that $\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} I_p \subseteq D_q$ for every $q \in P_n$.
- (v) $(\prod_{p=0}^{r_n-1} I_p) \cap D_\ell^n \subseteq D_\ell$ for all $\ell \in L_n$, under the convection $\prod_{p=0}^{-1} I_p = \{\emptyset\}$.

Notice first that for $n = 0$ the properties (i)-(v) are satisfied. Assume that for some non-negative integer n we have constructed $(L_m)_{m=0}^n$, $((D_\ell^m)_{\ell \in L_m})_{m=0}^n$ and if $n \geq 1$ we have constructed $(P_m)_{m=1}^n$ and $((I_q)_{q=r_{m-1}}^{r_m-1})_{m=1}^n$ satisfying (i)-(v). Then for every integer q with $q \geq r_n$ we have that

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} n_q &\stackrel{(45)}{\geq} V_\delta((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=0}^q, (n_p)_{p=0}^{q-1}) \\ &\stackrel{(44)}{\geq} T((\mathcal{F}_p)_{p=r_n}^q, \theta_3(r_n + 1, \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r_n-1} n_p + 2r_n)})). \end{aligned}$$

By (46) and the inductive assumption (ii), we have that the assumptions of Lemma 12 for “ $\varepsilon = \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r_n-1} n_p + 2r_n)}$ ”, “ $k = r_n + 1$ ”, “ $r = r_n$ ”, “ $L = L_n$ ” and “ $(D_\ell^n)_{\ell \in L_n}$ ” are satisfied. Hence there exist an arithmetic progression P_{n+1} of length $r_n + 1$ inside L_n , a van der Waerden subset L_{n+1} of L_n , a finite sequence $(I_p)_{p=r_n}^{r_{n+1}-1}$ and an $(r_{n+1}, \varepsilon', (n_q)_q)$ -dense sequence $(D_\ell^{n+1})_{\ell \in L_{n+1}}$, where $r_{n+1} = \max P_{n+1}$ and

$$(47) \quad \varepsilon' = \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r_n-1} n_p + 2r_n)} \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=r_n}^{r_{n+1}-1} n_p + 2)} \geq \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{r_{n+1}-1} n_p + 2r_{n+1})},$$

satisfying the following.

- (a) For all $p = r_n, \dots, r_{n+1} - 1$, the set I_p is an element of \mathcal{F}_p contained in $[n_p]$.
- (b) $r_n < \min P_{n+1}$.
- (c) For every $q \in P_{n+1}$, the set $\prod_{p=r_n}^{q-1} I_p$ is a subset of D_q^n .
- (d) For every $\ell \in L_{n+1}$, the set $(\prod_{p=r_n}^{r_{n+1}-1} I_p) \cap D_\ell^{n+1}$ is a subset of D_ℓ^n .

By (c) and the inductive assumption (v) we have for every $q \in P_{n+1}$ that the set $\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} I_p$ is a subset of D_q . By (d) and the inductive assumption (v) we have for every $\ell \in L_{n+1}$ that the set $(\prod_{p=r_n}^{r_{n+1}-1} I_p) \cap D_\ell^{n+1}$ is a subset of D_ℓ . The proof of the inductive step is complete.

We set $L' = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$. Moreover, observe that r_n tends to infinity as n tends to infinity. Thus L' is a van der Waerden set. It is straightforward that L' and $(I_q)_q$ satisfy the conclusion of the Theorem. \square

5. BOUNDS FOR THE MAP V_δ .

In this section, we are interested in bounds for the map V_δ . For every positive integer m , we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{[m]}$ the family of all subsets of the positive integers with m elements. It is immediate that

$$(48) \quad B(\mathcal{F}_{[m]}, \varepsilon) = \lceil m/\varepsilon \rceil.$$

We set

$$(49) \quad \mathcal{R}_c = \{\mathcal{F}_{[m]} : m \text{ is a positive integer}\}.$$

We will also need the following remark.

Remark 1. Let \mathcal{R}' be a subfamily of \mathcal{R} and $T' : \mathcal{R}'^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a map satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 10 for $\mathcal{F}_0, \dots, \mathcal{F}_q$ from \mathcal{R}' . Also let $V'_\delta : \mathcal{R}'^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined as in (44) using T' instead of T . Then one can check that V'_δ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2 under the restriction that each \mathcal{F}_q is chosen from \mathcal{R}' .

We define $T' : \mathcal{R}_c^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, setting $T'((\mathcal{F}_{[m_p]})_{p=0}^q, \varepsilon) = T_\varepsilon((\mathcal{F}_{[m_p]})_{p=0}^q)$ for every choice of non-negative integer q , positive integers m_0, \dots, m_q and real ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, where T_ε is defined in equation (3) from [TT]. We also define $V'_\delta : \mathcal{R}_c^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as in (44) using T' instead of T . Lemma 3 from [TT] yields that T' satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 10. Hence by Remark 1 we have that V'_δ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2. For the sequel we fix a sequence $(m_q)_q$ of integers and a real δ satisfying the following.

- (i) $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and
- (ii) $m_q \geq 2$ for every non-negative integer q .

We define a maps $f_c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ inductively as follows. We set

$$(50) \quad f_c(0) = V'_\delta((\mathcal{F}_{[m_0]}), \emptyset) \text{ and } f_c(q+1) = V'_\delta((\mathcal{F}_{[m_p]})_{p=0}^{q+1}, (f_c(p))_{p=0}^q)$$

for all $q = 0, 1, \dots$. In particular, we are interested in the rate of growth of the map f_c . We will need the following inequalities. By Lemma 14 of [TT] we have that

$$(51) \quad T'((\mathcal{F}_{[m_p]})_{p=0}^q, \varepsilon) \leq A_2 \left(5 \log_2(1/\varepsilon) \prod_{p=0}^q m_p \right)$$

for every non-negative integer q and every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta/2$, where $A_2(x) = 2^x$ for every real x . Moreover, by Theorem 18.2 of [G] we have that

$$(52) \quad S(k, \varepsilon) \leq A_2^{(3)}(\log_2(1/\varepsilon) A_2^{(2)}(k+9)),$$

for all positive integers k and all reals ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Proposition 13. *We have that $f_c(q) \leq A_2^{(1+6q)} (5((2/\delta^2)+1) \log_2(2/\delta) m_0 + \sum_{p=1}^q m_p)$, for every non-negative integer q .*

Proof. By (2) and (52), for every positive integer k and every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1(k, \varepsilon) &\geq \varepsilon \cdot [A_2^{(3)}(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) A_2^{(2)}(k+9))]^{-2} \\
 (53) \quad &\geq A_2(\log_2(1/\varepsilon))^{-1} \cdot A_2^{(2)}(1 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) \cdot A_2^{(2)}(k+9)))^{-1} \\
 &\geq A_2^{(2)}(1 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) \cdot A_2^{(2)}(k+9)))^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore invoking (36) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_3(k, \varepsilon) &\geq A_2(1 + (2/\varepsilon) \log_2(2/\varepsilon) A_2^{(2)}(1 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) \cdot A_2^{(2)}(k+9))))^{-1} \\
 (54) \quad &\cdot A_2^{(2)}(1 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) \cdot A_2^{(2)}(k+9)))^{-1} \\
 &\geq A_2^{(3)}(3 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) A_2^{(2)}(k+9)))^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (44) and (50), for every positive integer q , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_c(q) &\leq T'((\mathcal{F}_{[m_p]})_{p=0}^q, \theta_3(q+1, \delta \cdot 2^{-(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} f_c(p)+2q)})) \\
 (55) \quad &\stackrel{(51), (54)}{\leq} A_2(5 A_2^{(2)}(3 + A_2(\log_2(2/\varepsilon) A_2^{(2)}(k+9)))) \prod_{p=0}^q m_p \\
 &\leq A_2^{(6)}(\log_2(2/\delta) + \prod_{p=0}^{q-1} f_c(p) + 2q + m_q).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and (36), we have that $\theta_3(1, \varepsilon) \geq (\varepsilon/2)^{(2/\varepsilon^2)+1}$, for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Thus by (44), (50) and (51), we have that

$$(56) \quad f_c(0) = T'((\mathcal{F}_{[m_0]}), \theta_3(1, \delta)) \leq A_2(5 \log_2(2/\delta)(2/\delta^2 + 1) m_0).$$

By inequalities (55), (56) and using induction on q , the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [BL] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, *Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), no. 3, 725–753.
- [BM] V. Bergelson and R. McCutcheon, *An ergodic IP polynomial Szemerédi theorem*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2000), no. 695, viii+106 pp.
- [DLT] C. A. Di Prisco, J. Llopis, and S. Todorćević, *Parametrized partitions of products of finite sets*. Combinatorica, 24(2):209–232, 2004.
- [E] P. Erdős, *On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs*, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964). 183–190.
- [ES] P. Erdős, J. Spencer, *Probabilistic methods in combinatorics*, Academic Press.
- [FW] N. Frantzikinakis and M. Wierdl, *A Hardy field extension of Szemerédi's theorem*. Adv. Math. 222 (2009), no. 1, 1–43.
- [G] W. T. Gowers, *A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 11 (2001), no. 3, 465–588.
- [GRS] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer. *Ramsey theory*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition, 1990.

- [Mc1] McCutcheon, R. A variant of the density Hales-Jewett theorem. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 42 (2010), no. 6, 974–980.
- [Mc2] R. McCutcheon, *Elemental methods in ergodic Ramsey theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1722, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Sz] E. Szemerédi, *On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression*, Acta Arithmetica, 27 (1975), 199–245.
- [To] S. Todorćević, *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 174, Princeton University Press 2010.
- [TT] S. Todorćević and K. Tyros, *Subsets of products of finite sets of positive upper density*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 120 no. 1 (2013), 183–193.
- [vW] B. L. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 15 (1927), 212–216.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 40 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, CANADA, M5S 2E4

E-mail address: ktyros@math.toronto.edu